

PROFESSOR: So what do we do? We are going to sum over final states the probability to go from i to final at time t_0 to first order. Since the sum of our final states is really a continuum, this is represented by the integral of the $f_i(t_0)$, multiplied by the number of states at every little interval. So this will go $\rho(E_f) dE_f$. So this is what we developed about the number of states. So I'm replacing this-- I have to sum but I basically decide to call this little dN , the little number of states in here, and then I'm going to integrate this probability, so the number of states over there, and therefore the dN is replaced by ρ times dE_f .

So then this whole transition probability will be 4 integral, I'm writing now the integral, V_{fi}^2 squared, sine squared, ω_{fi}^2 over $2(E_f - E_i)^2$, $\rho(E_f) dE_f$. And you would say at this moment, OK, this is as far as you go, so that must be Fermi's golden rule, because we don't know $\rho(E_f)$, it's different in different cases, so we have to do that integral and we'll get our answer. But the great thing about this golden rule is that you can go far and you can do the integral.

Now I don't even know, this V_{fi} also depends on the energy, how am I ever going to do the integral? That seems outrageous. Well, let's try to do it, and part of the idea will be that we're going to be led to the concept that we already emphasized here because of this suppression, that only a narrow band of states contribute, and in that narrow band, if the narrow band is narrow enough, in that region V_{fi} will be approximately constant in a narrow enough region, and ρ will be approximately constant.

So we'll take them out of the integral, do the rest of the integral, and see later whether the way we're doing the integral shows that this idea is justified. So I'll just-- you know, sometimes you have to do these things, of making the next step, so I'll do that. I'll take these things out, assuming they're constant enough, and then we'll get $4 V_{fi}^2 \rho(E_i)$, what should I put here? E_i , is that right? Because if it's all evaluated at the initial energy E_i , if only a narrow band will contribute, I'll put an \hbar^2 here so that this will become ω_{fi}^2 , and now I will integrate over the sum range of energies the function $\sin^2 \omega_{fi} t_0 / 2$, over $\omega_{fi}^2 dE_f$.

So I just took the thing out of the integral and we're going to hope for some luck here. Whenever you have an integral like that it probably is a good idea to plot what you're integrating and think about it and see if you're going to get whatever you wanted. Look, I don't

know how far I'm going to integrate, I probably don't want to integrate too far because then these functions that I took out of the integral are not constants, so let's see what this looks like, the integrand, this function here.

Well, sine squared of x over x squared goes to 1, you know when-- this we're plotting as a function of ωf_i . Why? Time is not really what we're plotting into this thing, we're plotting-- we're integrating our energy, E_f , ωf_i is E_f minus E_i , so ω is the variable you should be plotting, and when ω goes to zero, this whole interval goes like t_0 squared over 4, and then sine squared of x over x squared does this thing, and the first step here is 2π over t_0 , 2π over t_0 and so on.

And now you smile. Why? Because it's looking good, this thing. First what's going to be this area? Well, if I look at this lobe, roughly, I would say height t_0 squared with 1 over t_0 , answer proportional to t_0 . This whole integral is going to be proportional to t_0 . The magic of the combination of the x squared growth, t_0 squared and the oscillation is making into this integral being linear in t_0 , which is the probability the transition [INAUDIBLE] is going to grow linearly is going to be a rate, as we expected. So this is looking very good.

Then we can attempt to see that also most of the contribution here happens within this range to the integral. If you look at the integral of sine squared x over x squared, 90% of the integral comes from here. By the time you have these ones you're up to 95% of the integral. Most of the integral comes within those lobes. And look what I'm going to say, I'm going to say, look, I'm going to try to wait long enough, t_0 is going to be long enough so that this narrow thing is going to be narrower and narrower and therefore most of the integral is going to come from ωf_i equal to 0, which means the f equals to E_i . If I wait long enough with t_0 , this is very narrow, and even all the other extra bumps are already 4π over t_0 over here is just going to do it without any problem, it's going to fit in.

So another way of thinking of this is to say, look, you could have argued that this is going to be linear in t_0 if you just change variables here, absorb the t_0 into the energy, change variable, and the t_0 will go out of the integral in some way, but that is only true if the limits go from minus infinity to plus infinity. So I cannot really integrate from minus infinity to plus infinity in the final energies, but I don't need to because most of the integral comes from this big lobe here, and if t_0 is sufficiently large, it is really within no energy with respect to the energy, E_i .

So our next step is to simply declare that a good approximation to this integral is to integrate

the whole thing from minus infinity to infinity, so let me say this. Suppose here in this range ω_{fi} is in between 2π over t_0 and minus 2π over t_0 . What does this tell us that ω_{fi} is in this region? Well, this is E_f minus E_i over \hbar so this actually tells you E_f is in between E_i plus $2\pi \hbar$ over t_0 , and E_i minus $2\pi \hbar$ over t_0 .

All right, so this is the energy range and as t_0 becomes larger and larger, the window for E_f is smaller and smaller, and we have energy conserving. So let's look at our integral again, the integral is I , that's for the integral, this whole thing, will be equal to integral dE_f sine squared of $\omega_{fi} t_0$ over 2 over ω_{fi} squared. So what do we do? We call this a variable, u , equal $\omega_{fi} t_0$ over 2 , so that du is $dE_f t_0$ over $2 \hbar$, because ω_{fi} is E_f minus E_i , and E_f is your variable of integration. So you must substitute the dE_f here and the rest of the integron. So what do we get from the dE_f and the other part? You get at the end $\hbar t_0$ over 2 integral from-- well, let's leave it, sine squared u over u squared du .

So look at this, the ω_{fi} squared, by the time you get here ω_{fi} goes like 1 over time, so when it's down here we'll give you a time squared, but the dE gives you 1 over time so at the end of the day we get the desired linear dependence on t_0 here, only if the integral doesn't have t_0 in here, and it will not have it if you extend it from minus infinity to infinity. And there's no error, really, in extending it from minus infinity to infinity because you basically know that n lobes are going to fit here and are going to be accurate, because there is little energy change if t_0 is large enough. If t_0 is large enough, even a $20\pi \hbar$ and a 20 by \hbar here, that still will do it. So we integrate like that, we extend it, and we get this whole integral has value π , so we get $\hbar t_0 \pi$ over 2 , that's our integral, I .

So our transition probability, what is it? We have it there, over there, we'll have the sum over final states, i to f of t_0 , first order is equal to the integral times this quantity, so that quantity is $\hbar t_0 \pi$ over 2 , so it's 4 , what do we have, V_{fi} squared, rho of E_i over \hbar squared, then $\hbar t_0 \pi$ over 2 . So your final answer for this thing is 2π over \hbar V_{fi} squared, rho of E_i t_0 . So let's box, this is a very nice result, it's almost Fermi's golden rule by now. Let's put a time t here, t_0 is a label, not to confuse our time integrals or things like that, so we could put the time, t , here, is 2π over \hbar V_{fi} squared rho of E_i t .

From here we have a transition rate, so a transition rate is probability of transition per unit time, so a transition rate would be defined as the probability of transition after a time t , divided by the time t that has elapsed, and happily, this has worked out so that our transition rate, w is 2π over \hbar V_{fi} squared rho of E_i , and this is Fermi's golden rule, a formula for the

transition rate to the continuum of final states.

You see, when I see [INAUDIBLE] it almost seemed you still have to integrate, there is a rho of E and let's integrate [INAUDIBLE] but the interval has been done and it says transmission amplitude squared evaluated at the state initial and final with the same energy and final state, and the rho evaluated at the energy of the initial state. You don't have to do more with that.

So we have this formula, let's look at a couple more things. Do units work out? Yes, this is transition per unit, this is 1 over time, this is energy squared, this is 1 over energy, and this is an h bar, this will give you 1 over time, so this thing goes well. How about our assumptions? This was calculated using some time t, we used to call it t0. How large does it have to be? Well, the larger it is the more accurate the integral is, but you don't want to take it too large, either, because the larger it is, the transition probability eventually goes wrong at first order of perturbation theory. So this argument is valid if there is a time, t0, that is large enough so that within this error bars, rho and the transition matrix elements are constant so that your integral is valid. But this t0 being large enough should be small enough that the transition probability doesn't become anywhere near 1.

That will happen in general or if Vfi is sufficiently small, so when Vfi is sufficiently small, this will always hold, and in physical applications this happens and it's OK. So that's our presentation and derivation of Fermi's golden rule, and we will turn now to one application and we will discuss.