

The following content is provided under a Creative Commons license. Your support will help MIT OpenCourseWare continue to offer high quality educational resources for free. To make a donation, or to view additional materials from hundreds of MIT courses, visit MIT OpenCourseWare at ocw.mit.edu.

**PROFESSOR
STRANG:**

So. I got the preparation for finite elements. Again we're in one dimension, because that's where you can see first and most clearly how the system works. So the system was, really, to begin with the weak form that I introduced last time. The Galerkin idea that I introduced just at the very end of last time, and that idea is that instead of the continuous differential equations where-- Galerkin's idea is how do you make it discrete, and he'll choose some trial functions. Those are functions. And some test function. And I didn't get to say, but I'll say now, very often they're the same. So very often the ϕ 's are the same as the V 's. And probably they will be in all my examples today. And then what's new today is, what choices would you make? You have pretty wide choice, but there are some natural ones to start with. And so that's where we are today. And then also the other part of today is how do we get from all that preparation to the equations that we actually solve. The $KU=F$, where does the K come from, where does the F come from? The F is going to come, of course, somehow from this right-hand side and the K is going to come from the left side. OK, so that's the overall direction if you want to know how finite elements work, that's the key line there.

And then here I've recalled what the step was, here's our differential equation. And there's its weak form. This is the weak form. And let me put this in. Here I've reproduced what we reached last time, the weak form. That was the strong form with its boundary conditions; now we get the weak form with its boundary conditions. And the weak form involves u , so it's the boundary conditions on u , the fixed ones, that get used in the weak form. The free ones don't appear in the weak form, but by the magic of integration by parts, the free ones will sort of come out in a natural way. But we have to build in the essential, Dirichlet boundary conditions like that fixed right-hand end. OK, and because I think of v as a little movement away from u , but my u 's are all fixed at the end, therefore v has to be fixed, too. OK, so this is important. But we haven't made it down to Earth yet. We were doing a lot of ideas last time, which are the center of things, but now let's get down to Earth. And down to Earth really means, what functions do we choose? And then how do we get the equation. So that's the job today, the principal job and this is of course what you would actually code up to run a finite element simulation. You would make a decision on these functions.

And let's start with piecewise linear functions. So a typical ϕ is maybe centered at a node, so it goes up and down. So if that's node two, let's number these nodes: one, two, three, four, and five. Well, that's five there but I'm going to have, let's see. What do I have here? At a typical node, two, my function is zero except in the intervals that touch node two. So here's ϕ_2 . $\phi_2(x)$. That's its graph. It comes along, it's piecewise linear up, it's piecewise linear back down, and it's zero again. So that would be ϕ_2 . Then ϕ_1 , there'll be a ϕ_1 . Like so, exactly similar. The whole point is keep the system simple. That's what the finite element idea is. Use simple functions for the ϕ s. And I'm taking them also to be the test function v . Keep those simple. Now, what about at the boundaries? OK, well we've said our functions have to be, I'm doing free-fixed. So fixed comes to zero there and all my functions will do that. But this end is free, so watch this. This is another, what I'll call a half-hat. If I call those hat functions is that OK? It makes a nice short word. So these are hat functions. And then a fuller description would be piecewise linear, but hat functions is clear.

OK, now notice I'm sticking in here what I maybe could call a half-hat. Because my V 's and my ϕ s, my functions are not constrained at that left-hand end. That's free. So there's a ϕ_1 , and there's a ϕ_0 . And a ϕ_3 , and a ϕ_4 . So altogether, I'm going to have five. And I've started the numbering at zero, I guess it just happens. So let's accept that. So I'm going to have five functions. And they will also be my five test functions. So let me first think of them as the trial functions. ϕ . So what was the point about trial functions? The point about is, my approximate, my finite element solution $U(x)$ is going to be a combination of those. Some U_0 , times the ϕ_0 function, up to U_4 times the ϕ_4 function. And these are my unknowns. U_0, U_1 , those numbers. I have five unknowns. And I'm using hat functions. So I should, really, why don't I draw a graph of $U(x)$. So I don't need the words piecewise linear. You see, I have a kind of space of functions. My functions are all, my approximations are combinations of these fixed basis functions. You could call these ϕ s, trial functions, basis functions, you're always choosing, in applied math, a bunch of functions whose combinations are going to be, is what you're going to work with.

And here I'm making them hat functions. OK, so what does a combination of those guys look like? I'll even erase the word hat functions; we won't forget that. So what would a combination of-- Here's the same interval zero, one, with the same mesh, one, two, three, four guys inside. What would-- It just helps the visualization to see. If I combine these guys, so those were, despite the way it might look, that's one separate function. Two, three, four and five. And now

suppose I take a combination. What kind of a function do I get? How would you describe this, a combination like this? Of those five guys? What will it look like? Between every node it will be a straight line, right? Because all these guys are straight, between those. So a typical function will start at what? This height will be U_0 , because, well let me draw a few things. OK, so I'll put U_0 a little higher because I want to end up down at zero. So that might be the height U_0 , and U_1 might be pretty close. U_2 might be coming down a bit. Coming down a bit, coming down a bit. And ending at zero. So those were meant to be corners. That wasn't a very good bit. There, OK. It wasn't meant to be, yeah. So that height is U_0 . Now, notice why? Why is that? Why is the coefficient of ϕ_0 exactly the height, the displacement, at zero?

Because all the other ϕ 's are zero. All the other ϕ 's are zero at this point. Only this guy's coming in. So we'll only see in this, at this point, see, even ϕ_1 has dropped to zero. So we're only going to see ϕ_0 times U_0 . So, I should have said, we take all these heights to be one. Height is one. Course it doesn't matter because we're multiplying by u 's, but so let's settle. They all have heights one. And this is sort of a key part of the finite element idea. You see, Galerkin, when he created just two or three functions ϕ , he tried to follow the exact solution. He had an idea in his mind what the solution to the problem will be. And he wanted to take two or three functions that would get him close to it. Here we choose the functions, they're in the finite element library before we even know what the equation is, or the boundary conditions. These functions are like, the hat function choice. And they have this beautiful sort of a connect to nodes. In a way where-- In fact, I got involved in finite elements in the first place just to understand what's the difference between finite elements and finite differences.

Because the finite elements, as you'll see, are associated with nodes. ϕ_1 is the only guy that's not zero at node one. And therefore, what will this height be? What's that height? So that maybe comes down a little. What's this height? U_1 . It's the coefficient of ϕ_1 , because ϕ_1 is sitting there. And all the other ϕ 's are zero there, so this height is really U_1 . And U_2 , and U_3 , and U_4 , and U_5 is zero. So that was U_1 , U_2 , and so on. What I'm saying is, and we'll see it happen, is that this $KU=F$ equation that we finally get to is going to look very like a finite difference equation. But it's coming from this different direction. And this way allows many more possibilities, gets things sort of more naturally right. It takes less-- With the finite difference equation, we had to go in there and decide what it should be. With finite elements, our decision is just the ϕ 's. Once we've decided the ϕ 's, Galerkin tells us what the equation is. And we'll get to the $KU=F$, what it is. But here I'm getting you to see what our approximate solution can look like. And this beautiful fact that the coefficients in here have a physical

meaning. They're actually the displacements at the nodes for these simple functions.

OK. You got a picture of what the trial functions are, some people would think about the functions as these guys. Other people might think of this picture, the combinations. So those are the individual basis functions. That's a typical combination of the typical one. OK, so we're looking for an equation for these U's. Five equations, of course. Because we've got five U's. So that's my final step here. What are the five equations for the five U's. And those are the equations that I'm going to call $KU=F$. OK. So here's now a critical moment. Where do the equations come from? Well, the equations come from the weak form. So I take the weak form. And in for u , for u here, I guess it's just there. I put this. I put capital U. So can I just copy, this is the weak form for before we've made it discrete. Before we've chosen n phis. OK, now let's choose the n phis, so now this'll be the weak form. Again, the weak form with Galerkin. After the decision. So it'll be the integral, from zero to one, of this $c(x)$, whatever it is. Times the $U(x)$ -- sorry, times the dU/dx , right? dU/dx , so what is dU/dx ? Oh, you have to pay attention. This was a true solution. Little u . But now this is where I'm working. I'm working with capital U. So instead of the d little u dx , it's d capital U dx . Maybe I'll put it in there. d capital U dx .

And then I'll put down here what it is. What is it? It's $U_0 \phi_0$, can I use prime just to-- Or $d\phi_0/dx$, whatever? Can I use prime for derivative? Just save a little space. So this is the derivative of my guy. $U_1 \phi_1'(x)$, up to whatever it was. $U_4 \phi_4'(x)$. That's what that term is. And that multiplies dv/dx . Where v is, here v is any test function. Any test function, only required to have $v(1)=0$. But now, I'm going discrete. So instead of any test function, I'll use these five functions. So I've got five functions. The phis are the same as the V's, then. V_1 , V_2 , V_3 and V_4 . Same guys. So now I'll put in dV , can I say dV_i/dx ? And on the right hand side I have the integral from zero to one of $f(x) \phi_i(x) dx$, i is zero, one, two, three, or four. I'm testing against five V's. So I have this equation for five different V's. So i equals zero, one, two, three, four, gives me my five equations. Here are my five unknowns. This is my five by five system.

Let me just step back a minute so you see what happened there. So what do you have to do? You chose the basis functions, the phis and the V's. Then you just plug into the weak form, you plug in dU/dx , is coming from there. So this is dU/dx . You have to plug dV/dx , you have to do the integrals. You have to do the integrals, that's something we didn't have in finite differences. Finite elements involves doing the integrals, left side and right-hand side. OK, so, and here we have five different integrals to do. We have $f(x)$ times each V. This will be, this

number will be F_i . So my F vector is going to be an F_0, F_1 , down to F_4 . The five guys that I get from these five integrals. Alright. And the K matrix is sitting here somewhere. That's the last thing, that's the final thing, is to see what is the K matrix. Which is coming. This is somehow K 's times U 's are sitting here. F 's are sitting over there. So it may be good to see the F 's first. So do you see this now? We made the choices, then what's our job? Our next job is to do all the integrations. Integrate my function against V .

Let's make the natural first example, let f be one. First example, let f be one. All right. So if f is one, I'm going to find the system $KU=F$. So if I know $f(x)$ is one, then I have everything I need to find these numbers. OK, actually we can probably do those by, you can probably tell me what they are. If $f(x)$ is one, now. So this is example one. $f(x)$ is a constant. One we have solved before. What's the integral of V_0 ? Right, that's what I have to do. What's the integral of this, $f(x)$ being one, I'm just asking, I just have $V_0(x)$? The integral of $V_0(x)$, and let me again draw V_0 , which is ϕ_0 . It's a half hat and then it goes along at zero. What's the integral of that function? One, yeah. How do I think about that integral? It's the area of the triangle. It's the area. That's what an integral is, it's the area. So the area is, I've got Δx there. Right, Δx as the base. One as the height, and you see the formula for the area of a triangle, it's got a half in there somewhere, right? A half. OK, can I factor out the Δx ? Because the Δx is going to come in. I think there's a half there. And then what about F_1 ? What's the integral of this times $V_1(x)$, the next V ?

It's the area under this dashed function. Which is now the basis $2 \Delta x$, so I get a one. Is that right? I get a one, one, one, one. OK, so that was obviously not too tough, right? That was straightforward and notice something. Even here, in fact, we see it here. I don't know if you remember about that half. Do you remember something about when we did finite differences and we had a free boundary? And we lost an order of accuracy if we didn't do it right? Do you remember that? At the fixed boundary we were fine, but with finite differences at a free boundary, where I was using the matrix T . With one, minus one at the top row, I lost an order of accuracy. Unless I made some change on the right-hand side. Look what's happening. The finite element method is making the change for me on the right hand side. So the finite element method is going to automatically keep the second order accuracy. Keep the second order accuracy. So that's a key point. That these piecewise linear functions are associated with second order accuracy. Later we'll move up to parabolas, to cubics; that will move up the order of accuracy in a nice way. Where with finite differences we would have had to create new finite difference formulas. Our minus one, two, minus one formula, that was good for

second order accuracy. Then we would have to figure out, in the quiz had partly started it, what if there's a $c(x)$ in there, what do you do? Finite differences, there's more thinking involved. Finite elements is like just press the button. Well, there's a little more to it than that of course, because it's taking a full lecture. But in the end it's more systematic, you could say.

So that's the F . Now are you ready for the K ? So this is the key part, OK? So you have to can get this thing to simplify. So what am I looking for here? This whole left-hand side should be K times U . So I'm looking to see what multiplies-- I'm looking to make sense out of this. What's the first equation? Right, so the first equation or the zeroth equation, I guess. The zeroth equation, the one that'll run along and have this right-hand side, the zeroth equation is the equation when i is zero. It's the equation that comes from testing our weak form for V_0 . For that particular form. Maybe I'll just start over on this board. Then I can write a formula, but I'd rather you see how it comes. So I'm looking at equation zero. So take $i=0$. So I have my left side is my integral, of $c(x)$. Times this combination that I wrote, $U_0 \phi_0'$ plus... $U_4 \phi_4'$, times $dV_0/dx \cdot dx$ equal, and on the right side is where I got the F_0 , which I already figured out to be Δx times a half.

It's the left side that I'm worrying about. OK, you see what's happening here? This is some matrix. Its zeroth row is what we're finding -- multiplying $U_0, U_1, U_2, U_3,$ and U_4 -- equaling the F vector. I'm supposed to be getting the first row of the matrix, the top row of the matrix, from the top V . OK, so let's just do these. We've got integrals to do again. Alright, what is dV_0/dx ? Do you see? Let me just see? What number is going in here? What number is going in there? Yeah, if we see that we're golden. What number is going in there? That's the thing that multiplies U_0 in the first row that means I should use V_0 , so this is the point, this is K_{00} . And what's its formula? You realize I'm starting the count at zero because all these counts-- So what is K_{00} ? It's an integral. Of what? $c(x)$, good. Times this guy, because it's multiplying, times this guy, V_0' , dx . That's what you have to do. That's what you have to do. $c(x)$ times ϕ_0' , it's ϕ_0' , that's what would sit there. And maybe, well, let's figure that one, shall we?

I have to know $c(x)$, right, that's part of the problem. What would you like me to choose for $c(x)$? One. Thank you. I'll choose one. Let this be one. Or I could make it capital C and you would see a capital C appearing everywhere, but let's make it one. So what are we doing now? What's our equation? Our right-hand side is one, our $c(x)$ is one, our equation has reduced to $-u''=1$. The first equation in the course. So we're back to September the 3rd or

whatever it was. But doing it now by finite elements. OK, so let $c(x)$ be one and tell me what this integral is. So $c(x)$ is now, we're taking-- In our problem, we're supposing it's one. Let me just say: Suppose it's function. Then we have lots of integrals to do involving that function. And we might not do them exactly, that would be alright. It's certainly totally OK to do the integrals approximately, because we're doing everything else approximately. So we just have to be sure that we do the integrals with sufficient accuracy so that we don't lose accuracy in the integrals. Of course, with a one we're going to do the integral exactly. But if $c(x)$ was some variable function, I wouldn't have to do it exactly, I would just have to do it with enough accuracy so that I don't lose extra accuracy beyond what I'm losing in the whole Galerkin approximation.

OK, ready for that number. What number comes out of that? ϕ_0' , let's graph ϕ_0' . And of course it's the same as V_0' , so can I put a little graph here? Here is zero to one, and I'm going to graph ϕ_0' . So what's ϕ_0' ? Oh, it's negative, isn't it? My little graph isn't going to work. I didn't leave enough room. It's negative. So I'll just write it in words. It's the same as V_0' , and what is it? Tell me what it is, what's the derivative of that function? It's what? Negative one. Wait a minute. Yeah, it's going to have a certain value, yeah. You can tell me what it is beyond that point real fast. So it's something up to Δx -- So what is the slope? What's that slope there, of ϕ_0' ? It's not negative one, because remember, what's the base here? That's not the point one, I'm sorry. All these were Δx 's. Those were just numbering the nodes. But the actual length scale is the Δx scale. So now tell me what it is. The derivative is negative one over Δx , right? It dropped by one when it went across by Δx . And this is only up to node one. Up to Δx and then zero afterwards. This is a key point. That all our functions are local. Our functions are local. What does that mean? You can tell me what am I going to get when I integrate, for example, when later on I might be integrating ϕ_1' against V_4' . What's the answer? This is the key point. Later, when I'm looking for the one, four entry, when I'm looking there, I'm going to do an integral of ϕ_1' . I'll erase for a moment and do this in my head. When I integrate ϕ_1' against V_4' . Maybe it's the fourth row. And the first guy over, maybe it's this guy I'm doing. Doesn't matter a whole lot. Because the answer is, when I integrate ϕ_1' against V_4' just, it's nice to get the easy ones. It's zero. Why is it zero? Why is the integral of ϕ_1' against V_4' zero? Because these ϕ 's are local. ϕ_1' is only non-zero here. V_4' is only non-zero over here. The two don't overlap. Anywhere the one is not zero, the other is zero. So that's a zero there. In fact, our overlaps, I'm just sort of looking ahead here. Our overlaps, a ϕ overlaps itself, of course. And its right-hand neighbor and its left-hand neighbor. But nobody two or three or more away. I think our K , all our integrals are going to be zero outside, we'll have another tri-diagonal matrix. We're going

to have zeroes all here. And we'll only have entries of ϕ against V when they're either the same or just differ by one. So we'll only have three diagonals.

OK, we were about to find out what that number is. So the slope of this is minus one over Δx , and that's-- I'm sorry, let me go back to zero, zero. OK. This is what we're keeping our fingers crossed for. What's that number? So I have this thing, actually is it just squared? And that's the slope. And then the ϕ and the V I'm choosing the same, so that's the slope again. I think I'm just getting one over Δx squared for that times that times the one. So what's K_{00} ? One over Δx . Where'd the Δx come from? Because we're only integrating over, it looks like zero to one but they're all zero. We're really only integrating, the only reality was out to node one. Out to Δx . You see that the number there, the number here on the diagonal is one over Δx .

OK, how about doing K_{11} for me? So again, now these guys will be the same guys. It's a square. No it's the integral $\phi_{1'}$ against $V_{1'}$, they're the same. And what is $\phi_{1'}$, which is the same as $V_{1'}$? What's the derivative now? It's, ah. What's the slope of this function? It goes up and goes back down, right? I have a plus part, so the slope going up is the one over Δx . And then the slope coming down is minus one over Δx . So this was up to Δx and then to two Δx , and then zero. That's a much more typical thing, the slope goes the function, the hat function goes up to the top of the hat. Back down. The slope up and the slope down are easy. And now the integral's easy. So I'm just squaring, well, when I square it, this squared is the one over Δx squared. This is the same, because the minus will get squared. So what's K_{11} ? What's K_{11} now? Have you got K_{11} in your head? This is one over Δx squared. And now what is the integral? Two over Δx . Because now we're integrating from zero to two Δx , because that's where my functions are going out from zero to node two. If the function's numbered one. So it's two Δx times this; I think we get a two over Δx on that diagonal.

Would you care to guess the rest of the diagonal? Yes, you tell me what's K_{22} and K_{33} and K_{44} ? They're all the same. We're just shifting over. So two over Δx goes down there. Alright, one more to do. One more integral to do. This next guy. So can you tell me what do I get now for K_{01} ? K_{01} , so now this is the case where I'm in row zero, so this should be V_0 , because that tells me the row I'm in. But $\phi_{1'}$. What happens when I integrate, just see the picture here. Let me just draw it small. $\phi_{1'}$, so let me draw $\phi_{1'}$. And V_0 . OK. But it's the derivatives that I want. It's the slopes that I want, OK? So what do I get from here on out?

Zero, because this guy only got to there. That's the half hat, the first guy stopped at Δx . So whatever is happening here is going to be multiplied by zero. So it's just here. One Δx interval for this one. They just overlap in one interval, of course. This guy and its neighbor only overlap in one interval. And what's the deal about the two slopes? They're opposite. One's coming down, one's going up. But the slopes are one over Δx and minus one over Δx . Do you see what's happening here? I'm integrating. Here I have a slope of one over Δx , and here I have a slope of minus one over Δx , so I should multiply those. Minus one over Δx squared, integrate, what goes in K_{01} ? What's that number? It's that times that integrated, but now the integral is only going really out to Δx because basically I'm just stopping there.

So-- But there's a minus now. Because it's not the square. It's this times its neighbor. One's going up, and one's going down. So it's Δx squared, and then the length of the interval, this is a minus one over Δx . Would you care to guess the rest of this matrix? What's the rest of that diagonal, above the main diagonal? It's all the same. That stays the same, because when I do $\phi_2 * V_1$, my picture is just like shifted over. But I still have one coming down, and one going up. When I do $\phi_3 * V_2$, same thing. And if I do $\phi_1 * V_2$, it'll be the same. I'm going to get this minus one over Δx all the way on that diagonal, also.

Symmetry. It's going to come out symmetric. Actually, since the course started by speaking about properties of matrices, let me just say K is going to turn out to be symmetric positive definite. And what's more, for this example we recognize K completely. You will say: Why did you take so long to get to this result? K is the one over Δx part times, what's the matrix? It's T . It's T . So it's one, minus one, minus one, two, minus one, minus one, two, minus one, minus one, two, minus one, and I guess we had five of them. Oh, but nobody there, right? That's not there. That fixed-- Why do we not have a minus one? Because we've got no, there isn't a six. That would be column-- We've got one, two, three, four, five columns; there's no U_5 , there's no V_5 , we've got them all.

And the F , so that-- So KU , this thing multiplies U_0 to U_4 , to U_4 , and it produces F , which is one over Δx , which is what? Oh, Δx is in the numerator, right. Times a half, one, one, one and one. That is the finite element system $KU=F$. For this simple problem. It's exactly what finite differences did. So you can see why my first introduction to finite elements was with the question: what's the difference? The finite element community at that point, this was like the golden age of finite elements, all this was just beginning to be created. These elements were being used. Especially in civil and structural engineering, that's where a lot of the earliest

papers came out of. And then, in a model problem it didn't look anything new. It looked like our original finite difference matrix. But there were some new things. First, there was this new $1/2$, that we hadn't particularly noticed with finite differences. We, we could catch onto that. Here's a minor difference. You notice that the Δx is-- Strictly speaking the Δx is up here then, but when I divide by Δx then I'm back to the finite difference. I have the one over Δx squared, it looks like finite differences again. So everything looks the same. But, of course, if $c(x)$ isn't one or if $f(x)$ isn't one, oh yeah. If $c(x)$ isn't one then I've got integrals to do. I would approximate those. And I could then come out with something that would look like a finite differences.

Let me take our other favorite model problem. What would be the F if, yeah, here's a question. What would be the right side if my vector, instead of being one, what's my other favorite choice? Delta. So I take delta at x minus, let me take delta at x minus $1/4$, first. Suppose that's my f . Then I've got to change all these guys. And what would they be? What would be the new right-hand side when I have this point load? I have to go back to the integrals, right? I have to go back to these guys. These integrals, with that new f , this is now delta of x minus a $1/4$, times each V , times dx . I have to integrate delta of x minus $1/4$ against every hat function. And see what it equals? And what will I get? You're going to tell me right away. What are those integrals? That's a point load at node one. Times the V integrated over the whole thing. What do I get? I get a one, yeah. That integral is going to pick out the value at a quarter. Right, that's what the delta function does, the spike is at a quarter. Has area one, so it picks out the V_i at a quarter. V_i at a quarter will be? One for the-- I think we get a $[0, 1, 0, 0, 0]$.

Again a little bit what our finite differences suggested that we should do. Alright, here's one final one for today. Suppose the delta function is not at a node. Suppose it's at $3/8$. Or it could be at any point a , but let me just take a typical, a special one where I can do it. Suppose the load is at $3/8$. What do I get for the integrals now? So now, it's delta of x minus $3/8$. The spike is at this point here. That's where delta is now, spiking at $3/8$. Is that right? We had $1/5$, tell me what-- oh, I should have had $1/5$ before, sorry. Change that on the videotape. All those $1/4$ s were-- That $1/4$ was $1/5$, and now what do I want? Three? I wanted to take a nice one that was halfway. I just forgot what halfway was. Where is halfway there? $3/10$ now for delta. So that was-- Before I had it for when delta. So previously was delta at x minus $1/5$ and now delta at x minus $3/10$, what's the F now? What's the F now? So the spike is right in the middle between one and two. What's do those integrals come out to be? If I integrate delta function times the different hats, what do I get? What do I get, yeah. I get a zero for this first guy

because it didn't touch the half hat. And then what do I get there? Half. And what do I get at the next one? Half again. And then the other guys it doesn't touch. You see, it automatically does it. Does those smart things. It automatically makes the smart choice. And if the spike was at a , at any point a , then at that typical point a wherever it is, like there, spike could be there. Then I would have, what would I have if the spike was there?

I'd have a little bit of ϕ_3 , and a big bit of ϕ_4 . And the two parts would add to one. It would take the right proportion. It would be the proportion, by however much this spike was near there, it would give that extra weight to ϕ_4 . OK. So there is the finite element method. It produced something that you might say, oh, we knew that. But you've got to see that it deals automatically with $c(x)$, it deals automatically with $f(x)$, it deals automatically with the free boundary. You see, the solution there is going to take sort of a balance, a pretty close balance, of this half hat with this one, and the solution will actually be a pretty close to free. It'll be pretty close to having the right zero slope there. OK, good.