

Logic I
Fall 2009
Session 18 Handout

Formal semantics for PL

- An *interpretation* for PL is a specification of each of the following:
 - A universe of discourse UD, where $\emptyset \subset UD$.
 - For each sentence letter **S** of SL, a truth-value assigned to **S**.
 - For each n-place predicate letter **F** of PL, a set of n-tuples of members of UD assigned to **F**.
E.g., if we are interpreting ‘Lxy’ to mean *x lives in y*, then to ‘L’ we would assign $\{ \langle \text{Ephraim, Somerville} \rangle, \langle \text{Damien, Somerville} \rangle, \langle \text{Vann McGee, Boston} \rangle, \dots \}$.
 - For each individual constant **c** of PL, an individual *u* assigned to **c**, where *u* is a member of UD.
- *Denotations* of individual terms on **I** and **d**:
 - If **t** is a variable, then $\text{den}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{t})$.
 - If **t** is an individual constant, then $\text{den}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{t})$.
- Variants of variable assignments:
 - $\mathbf{d}[u/x]$ is the variable assignment that assigns *u* to **x** and is otherwise just like **d**.E.g., if $\mathbf{d}_1 = \{ \langle \text{Alice, } \mathbf{x} \rangle, \langle \text{Bill, } \mathbf{y} \rangle, \langle \text{Carol, } \mathbf{z} \rangle, \dots \}$, then we have:
 $\mathbf{d}_1[\text{John}/\mathbf{y}] = \{ \langle \text{Alice, } \mathbf{x} \rangle, \langle \text{John, } \mathbf{y} \rangle, \langle \text{Carol, } \mathbf{z} \rangle, \dots \}$
- *Satisfaction* for formulas of PL:
 1. If **P** is a sentence letter, **d** satisfies **P** on **I** iff $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{P})=\mathbf{T}$.
 2. If **P** is an atomic formula of the form $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{t}_1 \dots \mathbf{t}_n$, where **A** is an n-place predicate of PL and $\mathbf{t}_1 \dots \mathbf{t}_n$ are individual terms of PL, **d** satisfies **P** on **I** iff $\langle \text{den}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{t}_1), \dots, \text{den}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{t}_n) \rangle \in \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{A})$.
 3. If **P** is of the form $\neg\mathbf{Q}$, then **d** satisfies **P** on **I** iff **d** does not satisfy **Q** on **I**.
 4. If **P** is of the form $\mathbf{Q}\&\mathbf{R}$, then **d** satisfies **P** on **I** iff **d** satisfies **Q** on **I** or **d** satisfies **R** on **I**.
 5. If **P** is of the form $\mathbf{Q}\vee\mathbf{R}$, then **d** satisfies **P** on **I** iff either **d** satisfies **Q** on **I** or **d** satisfies **R** on **I**.

6. If \mathbf{P} is of the form $\mathbf{Q} \supset \mathbf{R}$, then \mathbf{d} satisfies \mathbf{P} on \mathbf{I} iff either \mathbf{d} doesn't satisfy \mathbf{Q} on \mathbf{I} or \mathbf{d} satisfies \mathbf{R} on \mathbf{I} .
 7. If \mathbf{P} is of the form $\mathbf{Q} \equiv \mathbf{R}$, then \mathbf{d} satisfies \mathbf{P} on \mathbf{I} iff either \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{Q} are both satisfied by \mathbf{d} on \mathbf{I} or neither \mathbf{P} nor \mathbf{Q} is satisfied by \mathbf{d} on \mathbf{I} .
 8. If \mathbf{P} is of the form $(\forall \mathbf{x})\mathbf{Q}$, then \mathbf{d} satisfies \mathbf{P} on \mathbf{I} iff for any $u \in \text{UD}$, $\mathbf{d}[u/\mathbf{x}]$ satisfies \mathbf{Q} on \mathbf{I} .
 9. If \mathbf{P} is of the form $(\exists \mathbf{x})\mathbf{Q}$, then \mathbf{d} satisfies \mathbf{P} on \mathbf{I} iff for some $u \in \text{UD}$, $\mathbf{d}[u/\mathbf{x}]$ satisfies \mathbf{Q} on \mathbf{I} .
- Truth for PL sentences:
 - A sentence \mathbf{P} is true on interpretation \mathbf{I} iff every variable assignment \mathbf{d} for \mathbf{I} ¹ satisfies \mathbf{P} on \mathbf{I} .

Formal semantics for PLE

- The definition of truth is the same.
- We extend the definitions of satisfaction, denotation, and interpretation.
- An interpretation for PLE includes all elements of an interpretation for PL plus:
 - An assignment of a set of $n+1$ -tuples to each n -place functor of PLE.

E.g., if we want to interpret 'g(x,y)' to mean *the sum of x and y*, then to 'g' we would assign $\{ \langle 1,1,2 \rangle, \langle 1,2,3 \rangle, \langle 1,3,4 \rangle \dots \}$.

- Denotations in PLE are the same with the addition of a clause for functor-terms:
 - If \mathbf{t} is a term $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n)$, where \mathbf{f} is an n -place functor, $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n$ are terms, and $\langle \text{den}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{t}_1), \dots, \text{den}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{t}_n), u \rangle \in \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{f})$, then $\text{den}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{t}) = u$.

E.g., in the above example, if we let our term \mathbf{t} be 'g(1,3)', then since 'g' is a two-place functor and $\langle 1,3,4 \rangle \in \mathbf{g}$, $\text{den}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{t}) = 4$.

- Clause (2) of the definition of *satisfaction* already covers functor-expressions, since these count as individual terms. But we need to add a clause for '=':

10. If \mathbf{P} is an atomic formula of the form $\mathbf{t}_1 = \mathbf{t}_2$, then \mathbf{d} satisfies \mathbf{P} on interpretation \mathbf{I} iff $\text{den}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{t}_1) = \text{den}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{t}_2)$

¹Variable assignment \mathbf{d} is *for I* iff \mathbf{d} assigns only objects in \mathbf{I} 's UD.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

24.241 Logic I
Fall 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.