

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

6.436J/15.085J  
Problem Set 4

Fall 2018

---

**Readings:**

- (a) Notes from Lecture 6 and 7.
- (b) [Cinlar] Sections I.4, I.5 and II.2
- (c) [GS] Chapter 3

**Exercise 1.** Let  $N$  be a random variable that takes nonnegative integer values. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , be a sequence of i.i.d. discrete random variables that have finite expectation and are independent from  $N$ . Use iterated expectations to show that the expected value of  $\sum_{i=1}^N X_i$  is  $\mathbb{E}[N]\mathbb{E}[X_1]$ .

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right] &= E\left[E\left[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i \mid N\right]\right] \\ &= E[NE[X_1]] \\ &= E[N]E[X_1] \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 2.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be binomial with parameters  $(m, p)$  and  $(n, q)$ , respectively.

- (a) Show that if  $X$  is independent from  $Y$ ,  $m = n$ , and  $p = q$  then  $X + Y$  is binomial. *Hint:* Use the interpretation of the binomial, not algebra.
- (b) Does the conclusion of part (a) remain valid if  $m \neq n$ ? If  $X$  and  $Y$  are not independent? If  $p \neq q$ ?
- (c) Show that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then

$$\mathbb{P}(X + Y = k) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} p_X(i)p_Y(k - i).$$

- (d) Use the result from part (c) to find the PMF of  $X + Y$  where  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent Poisson random variables with parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , respectively. *Hint:* The “binomial theorem” states that

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} a^i b^{n-i}.$$

**Solution:**

- (a)  $X$  and  $Y$  can be constructed as sums of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables. Thus, as long as  $p = q$ , then  $X + Y$  can also be constructed as a sum of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables, which means that it has a Binomial distribution.
- (b) The conclusion of part (a) remains valid if  $m \neq n$  using the same argument. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are not independent, it doesn't hold. For example, for  $X = Y$  with  $p \in (0, 1)$  and  $n > 1$ , we have that  $X + Y$  only takes values in the even numbers, and not on odds. Thus, it cannot be binomial. If  $p \neq q$ , the conclusion also does not hold. For example, if  $p = 1$ ,  $q = 1/2$ , and  $n = m = 2$ , we have that  $\mathbb{P}(X + Y = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X + Y = 2) > \mathbb{P}(X + Y = 3)$ , which cannot happen with a Binomial random variable.
- (c) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X + Y = k) &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} p_{X|Y}(i|k - i)p_Y(k - i) \\ &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} p_X(i)p_Y(k - i). \end{aligned}$$

- (d) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent Poisson random variables with parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X + Y = k) &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} p_X(i)p_Y(k-i) \\ &= e^{-\lambda}e^{-\mu} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\lambda^i \mu^{k-i}}{i!(k-i)!} \\ &= e^{-(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \lambda^i \mu^{k-i} \\ &= e^{-(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{(\lambda + \mu)^k}{k!},\end{aligned}$$

which is a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\lambda + \mu$ .

**Exercise 3.** A 4-sided die has its four faces labeled as  $a, b, c, d$ . Each time the die is rolled, the result is  $a, b, c$ , or  $d$ , with probabilities  $p_a, p_b, p_c, p_d$ , respectively. Different rolls are statistically independent. The die is rolled  $n$  times. Let  $N_a$  and  $N_b$  be the number of rolls that resulted in  $a$  or  $b$ , respectively. Find the covariance of  $N_a$  and  $N_b$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\{X_k \mid k = 1, \dots, n\}$  be the i.i.d rolls of the dice. Using indicator functions, the number of rolls resulting in a particular outcome is

$$N_e = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_k = e\},$$

with mean

$$E[N_e] = \sum_{k=1}^n E[\mathbb{1}\{X_k = e\}] = np_e.$$

The covariance between  $N_a$  and  $N_b$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(N_a, N_b) &= E \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{1}\{X_i = a\} - p_a) \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathbb{1}\{X_j = b\} - p_b) \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n E [(\mathbb{1}\{X_k = a\} - p_a)(\mathbb{1}\{X_k = b\} - p_b)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} E [(\mathbb{1}\{X_i = a\} - p_a)(\mathbb{1}\{X_j = b\} - p_b)]. \end{aligned}$$

This later term is zero since  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are independent for  $i \neq j$ . For this first term

$$\begin{aligned} &E [(\mathbb{1}\{X_k = a\} - p_a)(\mathbb{1}\{X_k = b\} - p_b)] \\ &= E [\mathbb{1}\{X_k = a\}\mathbb{1}\{X_k = b\}] - p_b E [\mathbb{1}\{X_k = a\}] - p_a E [\mathbb{1}\{X_k = b\}] + p_a p_b \\ &= 0 - 2p_a p_b + p_a p_b = -p_a p_b. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\text{cov}(N_a, N_b) = -np_a p_b.$$

**Exercise 4.** Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are discrete random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . An elegant way of defining the conditional expectation of  $Y$  given  $X$  is as a random variable of the form  $\phi(X)$  (where  $\phi$  is a measurable function), such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi(X)g(X)] = \mathbb{E}[Yg(X)],$$

for all measurable functions  $g$ . In this problem, we will prove that this condition defines the conditional expectation uniquely; that is, if we also have

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(X)g(X)] = \mathbb{E}[Yg(X)],$$

for every measurable function  $g$ , then  $\phi(X)$  and  $\psi(X)$  are almost surely equal, i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}(\phi(X) = \psi(X)) = 1$ .

- (a) Prove that the following sets are  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable:  $\{\phi(X) > \psi(X)\}$  and, for any integer  $n$ ,  $A_n := \{\phi(X) > \psi(X) + 1/n\}$ .
- (b) Assume the contradiction  $\mathbb{P}(\phi(X) = \psi(X)) < 1$  and use  $g(x) = \mathbf{1}_{A_n}$  for some appropriate  $n$  to show that the conditional expectation is unique.

**Solution:**

- (a) First, since  $X$  is discrete,  $\phi(X)$  and  $\psi(X)$  are random variables, without any further assumptions on  $\phi, \psi$ . This implies that  $\{\omega | \phi(X(\omega)) > \psi(X(\omega))\}$  and  $\{\omega | \phi(X(\omega)) > \psi(X(\omega))\}$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable sets; indeed, since one can always fit a rational number between any two distinct real numbers, we have

$$\{\phi(X) > \psi(X)\} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \{\phi(X) > q\} \cap \{q > \psi(X)\}$$

The set  $\{\phi(X) > \psi(X) + a\}$  is also  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable for any real  $a$  (and of course, for  $1/n$ ) since, similarly,

$$\{\phi(X) > \psi(X) + a\} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \{\phi(X) > q\} \cap \{q - a > \psi(X)\}$$

- (b) We now proceed to the proof of uniqueness. Assume by contradiction that  $\mathbb{P}(\phi(X) = \psi(X)) < 1$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $\mathbb{P}(\phi(X) < \psi(X)) > 0$ . The sets

$$A_n = \{\omega | \phi(X)(\omega) + 1/n < \psi(X)(\omega)\}$$

form an increasing sequence of  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable sets and

$$\{\omega | \phi(X)(\omega) < \psi(X)(\omega)\} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n.$$

By continuity of probability, there exists some  $n$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) > 0$ .

Now, define  $g(x) = \mathbf{1}_{A_n}$ . Its expectation is well-defined and by construction,

$$\begin{aligned} E[\phi(X)g(X)] &= E[\phi(X)\mathbf{1}_{A_n}] \\ &\leq E[(\psi(X) - 1/n)\mathbf{1}_{A_n}] \\ &= E[\psi(X)g(X)] - (1/n)P(A_n) \\ &< E[\psi(X)g(X)] \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

**Exercise 5.** A machine is refilled each morning with  $n$  portions of vanilla and chocolate ice creams each (a total of  $2n$  portions). Customers arrive sequentially, each getting one of the ice creams independently with probability  $1/2$ . Consider the first moment when a customer receives an “out of order” message. Let  $X$  be the number of portions of the other type left at this moment,  $0 \leq X \leq n$ . Find the distribution of  $X$ .

**Solution:** If the unlucky customer ordered vanilla, then there are  $X = m$  portions of the chocolate left, if there were  $2n - m$  portions were given earlier, out of which  $n$  were vanilla. There are  $\binom{2n-m}{n}$  sequences of orders that lead to that scenario, all happening with probability  $2^{-(2n-m)}$ . Thus, since vanilla and chocolate are interchangeable, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[X = m] = \binom{2n-m}{n} 2^{-(2n-m)}.$$

**Exercise 6.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space. (So,  $\mu$  is a measure, but not necessarily a probability measure.) Let  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative measurable function. Let  $\{B_i\}$  be a sequence of disjoint measurable sets. Prove that

$$\int_{\cup_i B_i} g d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_i} g d\mu.$$

(Be rigorous!)

*Note:* As an application, this exercise gives another rich source of probability measures. Namely, take  $f$  – a nonnegative measurable function on the real line with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx = 1$  (integral w.r.t. Lebesgue measure), and define a set-function  $\mathbb{P}(A) = \int_A f dx$ . The exercise shows that  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot)$  is a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ . Function  $f$  is called the probability density function (PDF) of  $\mathbb{P}$ .

**Solution:** Let  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  and

$$g_k = g \mathbb{1}_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i} = \sum_{i=1}^k g \mathbb{1}_{B_i},$$

where the last equality holds since the  $B_i$  are disjoint. Moreover, for any arbitrary countable collection of disjoint sets  $\{U_n\}$

$$\mathbb{1}_{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{1}_{U_n}.$$

Since  $g$  is nonnegative  $g_k$  is increasing to  $g \mathbb{1}_B$ , and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B g \, d\mu &= \int g \mathbb{1}_B \, d\mu \\ &= \int \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k \, d\mu \quad (g_k \nearrow g \mathbb{1}_B) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int g_k \, d\mu \quad (\text{Monotone convergence theorem}) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int \sum_{i=1}^k g \mathbb{1}_{B_i} \, d\mu \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \int g \mathbb{1}_{B_i} \, d\mu \quad (\text{Linearity of integration}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_i} g \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 7. [Optional, not to be graded]** Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two finite measures on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ . Show that if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, d\nu$$

for all bounded continuous functions  $f$  then  $\mu = \nu$ . (*Hint:* write  $\mathbb{1}_{(a,b)}(x)$  as an increasing limit of continuous functions.)

*Note:* This exercise shows that measure on Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is uniquely characterized by its values on continuous functions. This is true on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any other topological space. Similar to how it is sufficient to know measures only on intervals  $(-\infty, a)$  it is sufficient to consider only a handful of functions (such as all sines and cosines, or all exponents). This will be discussed later.

**Solution:** Let  $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the sequence of functions, defined for all  $n > \frac{2}{b-a}$  and 0 otherwise,

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq a \\ n(x-a) & a < x < a + \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & a + \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq b - \frac{1}{n} \\ -n(x-b) & b - \frac{1}{n} < x < b \\ 0 & x \geq b \end{cases}.$$

By construction the  $\{f_n\}$  are increasing, bounded and continuous, piecewise linear. Moreover,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \nearrow \mathbb{1}_{(a,b)}$ , this follows since

$$(a, a + \frac{1}{n}), (b - \frac{1}{n}, b) \rightarrow \emptyset \quad [a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}] \rightarrow (a, b).$$

Therefore, by the monotone convergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(a, b) &= \int \mathbb{1}_{(a,b)} d\mu \\ &= \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n d\mu \quad (f_n \nearrow \mathbb{1}_{(a,b)} \text{ pointwise}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu \quad (\text{Monotone converge theorem}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\nu \quad (f_n \text{ bounded and continuous}) \\ &= \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n d\nu \quad (\text{Monotone convergence theorem}) \\ &= \int \mathbb{1}_{(a,b)} d\nu = \nu(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to question 1 of homework 3, if two measures agree on a generating collection for a  $\sigma$ -algebra they agree on the entire  $\sigma$ -algebra. While the open intervals  $(a, b)$  have not been explicitly given as a generating collection for the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra thus far, observe that any arbitrary closed interval can be written as the intersection of open intervals

$$[a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n}).$$



MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

6.436J / 15.085J Fundamentals of Probability  
Fall 201

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>