

6.045 Pset 4

Assigned: Friday, March 4, 2011

Due: Tuesday, March 15, 2011

To facilitate grading, remember to solve each problem on a separate sheet of paper! Also remember to write your name on each sheet.

- Mother of Two Children.** Write a (nonempty) computer program P whose output is $\langle P \rangle \langle P \rangle$ —that is, P 's own source code printed twice in succession. You can either describe P in pseudocode, or (*for extra credit*) implement P in your favorite programming language, and include its output.
- Beyond the Halting Problem.** Let $L = \{\langle M \rangle : \exists x \text{ such that } M(x) \text{ runs forever}\}$.
 - Show that $L \leq_T \text{SUPERHALT}$ (where SUPERHALT is the halting problem for Turing machines P with HALT oracles).
 - Show that $\text{SUPERHALT} \leq_T L$. [*Hint: Do there exist positive integers t, k such that P^{HALT} halts in t steps, and every time P queries the HALT oracle, the machine Q that P asks about either halts in at most k steps or else runs forever?*]
- Countable and Uncountable.**
 - Recall that a *Turing degree* is the set of all languages Turing-equivalent to a given language. Show that every Turing degree contains infinitely many languages.
 - Show that every Turing degree contains only a *countable* infinity of languages.
 - Show that, if the sets S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots are each countably infinite, then their union $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 \cup \dots$ is countably infinite as well.
 - Is *the set of all Turing degrees* a countable or uncountable set? Why?
- Polynomial-Time Reducibility.** Show that if $A \leq_P B$ and $B \leq_P C$, then $A \leq_P C$. If the reduction from A to B blows up the instance sizes by a $p(n)$ factor, and the reduction from B to C blows up the instance sizes by a $q(n)$ factor, then by what factor will the reduction from A to C blow up the instance sizes?
- Circuit Complexity.** Define a *XOR-circuit* to be a circuit with n input bits and m output bits, which is built entirely out of XOR gates with two input wires each. You can assume that the constants 0 and 1 are always available as input bits.
 - Show that a function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ is computable by a XOR-circuit, if and only if f has the form $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$, where each y_i is the sum mod 2 of some subset of the x_i 's (and possibly the constant 1).
 - Give an example of a function $f : \{0, 1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ that cannot be computed by a XOR-circuit.
 - Show that, if $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ is computable by a XOR-circuit at all, then it's computable by a XOR-circuit with at most nm XOR-gates.

- (d) Show that there are exactly $2^{m(n+1)}$ functions $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ computable by XOR-circuits.
- (e) Show that there are at most $(n + T)^{2T+m}$ functions $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ computable by XOR-circuits with T XOR-gates.
- (f) Combining parts d and e, show that there exists a function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ computable by a XOR-circuit, but only by one with $\Omega(mn/\log n)$ gates.
6. **Equivalence of Search and Decision.** Show that if $P = NP$, then for any language $L \in NP$, there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that not only decides whether $x \in L$, but if the answer is “yes,” also outputs a *proof* that $x \in L$. [*Hint:* Can you reduce the task of finding such a proof to a *sequence* of yes-or-no NP queries? Keep in mind that there might be multiple valid proofs!]
7. **Complexity Classes.** Recall that $PSPACE = DSPACE(n^{O(1)})$ and $EXP = DTIME(2^{n^{O(1)}})$. Show that $PSPACE \subseteq EXP$.
8. **Time Hierarchy Theorem.** Show that $P^{NP} \neq EXP^{NP}$. [*Hint:* Recall the discussion about Turing’s proof of the unsolvability of the halting problem being a *relativizing* proof.]

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.045J / 18.400J Automata, Computability, and Complexity
Spring 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.