

5.73 Lecture #13

13 - 1

End of Matrix Solution of H-O, and Feel the Power of the \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{a}^\dagger Operators

1. starting from $\mathbf{H} = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}k\mathbf{x}^2$ and $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}] = i\hbar$

2. we showed $p_{nm} = \frac{m}{i\hbar} x_{nm} (E_m - E_n)$

$$x_{nm} = \frac{i}{\hbar k} p_{nm} (E_m - E_n)$$

$$\therefore x_{nm} = 0, p_{nm} = 0 \text{ and } \begin{cases} x_{nm} \\ p_{nm} \end{cases} = 0 \text{ if } E_n = E_m$$

3. $x_{nm}^2 = -\frac{1}{km} p_{nm}^2$

$$E_m - E_n = \pm \hbar\omega \quad \omega = (k/m)^{1/2}$$

\therefore the only non-zero \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{p} elements are between states whose E 's differ by $\pm \hbar\omega$

4. combs of connected states, "block diagonalization" of \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{p} , \mathbf{x}^2 , \mathbf{p}^2 : $E_n^{(i)} = \hbar\omega n + \epsilon_i$

constant offset for block i

5. lowest index must exist because lowest E must exist. Call this index 0

$$|x_{01}|^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2} (km)^{-1/2}$$

$$|p_{01}|^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2} (km)^{+1/2}$$

from arbitrary (but almost universally chosen) phase choice

$$x_{01} = +i(km)^{-1/2} p_{01}$$

Today

6. Recursion Relationship: $|x_{nm+1}|^2$ in terms of $|x_{nm-1}|^2$
 general matrix elements $|x_{nm+1}|^2, |p_{nm+1}|^2$

7. general \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{p} elements

8. the only blocks of \mathbf{H} correspond to $\epsilon_i = \frac{1}{2} \hbar\omega$

We are ready to derive a powerful, compact, and intuitive algebra:

Dimensionless \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{p} , \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{a} (annihilation) and \mathbf{a}^\dagger (creation) operators.

5.73 Lecture #13

Partial repetition from Lecture #12

phase ambiguity: we can specify absolute phase of \mathbf{x} or \mathbf{p} BUT NOT BOTH because that would affect the value of $[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}]$

BY CONVENTION:

matrix elements of \mathbf{x} are REAL
 \mathbf{p} are IMAGINARY

try $x_{01} = +i(km)^{-1/2} p_{01}$ and plug this into

$$x_{01}p_{01}^* - p_{01}x_{01}^* = i\hbar$$

get

$$|x_{01}|^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2}(km)^{-1/2}$$

$$|p_{01}|^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2}(km)^{+1/2}$$

If we had chosen $x_{01} = -i(km)^{-1/2} p_{01}$ we would have obtained $|x_{01}|^2 = -\frac{\hbar}{2}(km)^{1/2}$ which is impossible!

check for self-consistency of seemingly arbitrary phase choices at every opportunity: * Hermiticity ($\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{A}$, $A_{ij}^* = A_{ji}$)

* $| \quad |^2 \geq 0$ for any A_{ij}

6. Recursion Relation for $|x_{ii+1}|^2$

start again with general equation derived in part #3 of Lecture #12 using the phase choice that worked

$$x_{nn+1} = i(km)^{-1/2} p_{nn+1}$$

$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow$

index increasing

Hermiticity

$$x_{n+1n}^* = i(km)^{-1/2} p_{n+1n}^*$$

c.c. of both sides

$$x_{n+1n} = -i(km)^{-1/2} p_{n+1n}$$

$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow$

index decreasing

$$\therefore x_{m\pm 1} = \pm i(km)^{-1/2} p_{m\pm 1}$$

now the arbitrary part of the phase ambiguity in the relationship between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{p} is eliminated

Apply this to the general term in $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}] \Rightarrow$ algebra

NONLECTURE : from four terms in $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}] = i\hbar$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1}p_{n+1} &= x_{n+1}p_{n+1}^* = x_{n+1} \left(-\frac{(km)^{1/2}}{i} x_{n+1}^* \right) \\ &= |x_{n+1}|^2 (+i(km)^{1/2}) \\ -p_{n+1}x_{n+1} &= -\left(\frac{(km)^{1/2}}{i} x_{n+1} \right) (x_{n+1}^*) = |x_{n+1}|^2 (+i(km)^{1/2}) \\ x_{n-1}p_{n-1} &= x_{n-1}p_{n-1}^* = x_{n-1} \left(+\frac{(km)^{1/2}}{i} x_{n-1}^* \right) \\ &= |x_{n-1}|^2 (-i(km)^{1/2}) \\ -p_{n-1}x_{n-1} &= -\left(-\frac{(km)^{1/2}}{i} x_{n-1} \right) (x_{n-1}^*) = |x_{n-1}|^2 (-i(km)^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore i\hbar = 2i(km)^{1/2} \left[|x_{n+1}|^2 - |x_{n-1}|^2 \right]$$

$$|x_{n+1}|^2 = \frac{\hbar(km)^{-1/2}}{2} + |x_{n-1}|^2$$

recursion
relation

$$\text{but } |x_{01}|^2 = |x_{10}|^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2}(km)^{-1/2}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+1}|^2 &= (n+1) \frac{\hbar}{2} (km)^{-1/2} \\ |p_{n+1}|^2 &= (n+1) \frac{\hbar}{2} (km)^{+1/2} \end{aligned}$$

general
result

5.73 Lecture #13

13 - 4

7. Magnitudes and Phases for $x_{nn\pm 1}$ and $p_{nn\pm 1}$

verify phase consistency and Hermiticity for \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{p}

in #3 we derived $x_{nn\pm 1} = \pm i(km)^{-1/2} p_{nn\pm 1}$

one self-consistent set is

matrix elements
of \mathbf{x} real and
positive

$$x_{nn+1} = +(n+1)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2(km)^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2} = +x_{n+1n}$$

$$x_{nn-1} = +(n)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2(km)^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2} = +x_{n-1n}$$

$$(km)^{1/2} = m\omega$$

AND

matrix elements
of \mathbf{p} imaginary
with sign flip for
up vs. down

$$p_{nn+1} = -i(n+1)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\hbar(km)^{1/2}}{2} \right)^{1/2} = -p_{n+1n}$$

$$p_{nn-1} = +i(n)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\hbar(km)^{1/2}}{2} \right)^{1/2} = -p_{n-1n}$$

Phase is a recurrent problem in matrix mechanics because we never look at wavefunctions or evaluate integrals explicitly.

This is the usual phase convention

8. Possible existence of noncommunicating blocks along diagonal of \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{p}

you show that $H_{nm} = (n+1/2)\hbar \left(\frac{k}{m} \right)^{1/2} \delta_{nm}$

(note that \mathbf{x}^2 and \mathbf{p}^2 have non-zero $\Delta n = \pm 2$ elements but $\frac{1}{2}k\mathbf{x}^2 + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}$ has cancelling contributions in $\Delta n = \pm 2$ locations)

This result implies

- * all of the possibly independent blocks in \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{p} , \mathbf{H} are identical
- * $\epsilon_i = (1/2)\hbar\omega$ for all i
- * degeneracy of all E_n ? all are the same, but can't prove that this universal degeneracy is 1.

End of repetition from Lecture #12

5.73 Lecture #13

13 - 5

Creation and Annihilation Operators (CTDL pages 488-508)

- * Dimensionless operators
- * simple operator algebra rather than complicated real algebra
- * matrices arranged according to “selection rules”
- * matrix elements calculated by extremely simple rules
- * automatic generation of any basis function by repeated operations on lowest (nodeless) basis state

Get rid of system-specific factors k, μ, ω and also \hbar .

$$\omega = (k/m)^{1/2}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \equiv \left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}\right)^{1/2} \mathbf{x}$$

dimensionless \uparrow

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}} \equiv (\hbar m\omega)^{-1/2} \mathbf{p}$$

\uparrow regular

$$\mathbf{x}^2 = \left(\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}\right) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2$$

$$\mathbf{p}^2 = \hbar m\omega \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^2$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2}k\mathbf{x}^2 + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^2\right)$$

We choose these factors to make everything come out dimensionless.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}} = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^2\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}k\left(\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{k\hbar}{m\omega} = \frac{\omega^2\hbar}{2\omega} = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2m}(\hbar m\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$$

$$\left[\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}\right] = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar} \frac{1}{\hbar m\omega}\right)^{1/2} [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}] = \frac{1}{\hbar}(i\hbar) = i$$

dimensionless

from results for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{H}$

$$x_{mn} = 2^{-1/2} \left[(n+1)^{1/2} \delta_{mn+1} + n^{1/2} \delta_{mn-1} \right]$$

$$p_{mn} = 2^{-1/2} i \left[(n+1)^{1/2} \delta_{mn+1} - n^{1/2} \delta_{mn-1} \right]$$

$$H_{mn} = (n+1/2)\delta_{mn}$$

diagonal

square root of larger quantum number

note the negative sign

Kronecker - δ 's specify selection rules for all nonzero matrix elements

Now define something new: use $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger$ to clean things up even more!

5.73 Lecture #13

13 - 6

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{a} &= 2^{-1/2} (\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + i \tilde{\mathbf{p}}) \\
 \mathbf{a}^\dagger &= 2^{-1/2} (\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - i \tilde{\mathbf{p}})
 \end{aligned}
 \rightarrow
 \begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mathbf{x}} &= 2^{-1/2} (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger) \\
 \tilde{\mathbf{p}} &= 2^{-1/2} i (\mathbf{a}^\dagger - \mathbf{a})
 \end{aligned}$$

Let's examine the matrix elements of \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{a}^\dagger

now plug in m, n matrix elements of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ from previous page

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{mn} &= \left[2^{-1/2} \tilde{x}_{mn} + 2^{-1/2} i \tilde{p}_{mn} \right] \\
 &= \left[\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (n+1)^{1/2} \delta_{mn+1}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (n+1)^{1/2} \delta_{mn+1}}_{i \tilde{\mathbf{p}}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} n^{1/2} \delta_{mn-1}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} n^{1/2} \delta_{mn-1}}_{i \tilde{\mathbf{p}}} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

two terms cancel
two terms add

group terms according to "selection rule"

$$a_{mn} = n^{1/2} \delta_{mn-1}$$

the first (left) index is one smaller than the second (right)

$$a_{mn} = \langle m | \mathbf{a} | n \rangle = n^{1/2}$$

row
column

$n^{1/2} |n-1\rangle$

similarly

$$a_{mn}^\dagger = (n+1)^{1/2} \delta_{mn+1}$$

\mathbf{a} is lowering or "annihilation" operator

$$a_{mn}^\dagger = \langle m | \mathbf{a}^\dagger | n \rangle = (n+1)^{1/2}$$

the first index is one larger than the second
 \mathbf{a}^\dagger is a "creation" operator

5.73 Lecture #13

13 - 7

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{columns} \\
 \begin{matrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
 \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \mathbf{a^\dagger = 2} \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{matrix} \text{ rows}
 \end{matrix}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 2^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & \textcircled{3^{1/2}} & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4^{1/2} & 0
 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{array}$$

Square root of integers always only one step below main diagonal. \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{a}^\dagger are obviously not Hermitian!

e.g. $\langle 3 | \mathbf{a}^\dagger | 2 \rangle = 3^{1/2}$

row \mathbf{a}^\dagger raises column

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix}
 0 & 1^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 2^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 3^{1/2} & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \textcircled{4^{1/2}} \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
 \end{pmatrix}$$

Square root of integers always only one step above main diagonal.

e.g. $\langle 3 | \mathbf{a} | 4 \rangle = 4^{1/2}$

\mathbf{a} lowers

What is so great about \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{a}^\dagger ?

$\mathbf{a} | n \rangle = n^{1/2} | n-1 \rangle$ annihilates 1 quantum

$\mathbf{a}^\dagger | n \rangle = (n+1)^{1/2} | n+1 \rangle$ creates 1 quantum

$| n \rangle = [n!]^{-1/2} (\mathbf{a}^\dagger)^n | 0 \rangle$ generate any state, $| n \rangle$, from the lowest state, $| 0 \rangle$

needed to normalize. Each application of \mathbf{a}^\dagger gives the next larger integer. Do it n times on $| 0 \rangle$, get $n!$ $| n \rangle$.

More tricks: look at $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^\dagger$ and $\mathbf{a}^\dagger\mathbf{a}$

is $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^\dagger$ Hermitian?

$[(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B})^\dagger = \mathbf{B}^\dagger\mathbf{A}^\dagger]$ definition of Hermitian

$(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^\dagger)^\dagger = \mathbf{a}^\dagger\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^\dagger$

$\therefore \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^\dagger$ and $\mathbf{a}^\dagger\mathbf{a}$ are Hermitian — to what “observable” quantity do they correspond? We will see that one of these is called the “number operator.”

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + i\tilde{\mathbf{p}})(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - i\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2 + i\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - i\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\tilde{\mathbf{p}} + \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^2 - \underbrace{i[\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}]}_i\right) = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^2 + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

similarly $\mathbf{a}^\dagger\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^2 - 1)$

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 \therefore \mathbf{H} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}^\dagger\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^\dagger) \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger] = 1 \\
 \mathbf{H} &= \mathbf{a}^\dagger\mathbf{a} + 1/2
 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{simple form for } \mathbf{H}$$

\mathbf{H} is the **number operator** + 1/2

$$\mathbf{H} = \hbar\omega\mathbf{H} = \hbar\omega(\mathbf{a}^\dagger\mathbf{a} + 1/2)$$

↑ # of quanta

$$\mathbf{a}^\dagger\mathbf{a}|n\rangle = n|n\rangle \quad \mathbf{a}^\dagger\mathbf{a} \text{ is "number operator"}$$

$$[\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^\dagger|n\rangle = (n+1)|n\rangle] \quad \text{not as useful}$$

What have we done? We have exposed all of the “symmetry” and universality of the H–O basis set. We can now trivially work out what the matrix for any $\mathbf{x}^n\mathbf{p}^m$ operator looks like and organize it according to selection rules.

5.73 Lecture #13

13 - 9

What about \mathbf{x}^3 ?

$$\mathbf{x}^3 = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}\right)^{-3/2} \underline{\mathbf{x}}^3$$

When you multiply this out, preserve the order of \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{a}^\dagger factors.

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}^3 = (2^{-3/2})(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger)^3$$

$$= (2^{-3/2}) \left[\mathbf{a}^3 + (\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger) + (\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger + \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger + \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger 3} \right]$$

$$\Delta n = \quad -3, \quad -1, \quad +1,$$

(+3) (# of \dagger minus # of non- \dagger)

Simplify each group using commutation properties so that it has form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{a}[\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}]|n\rangle & or & \mathbf{a}^\dagger[\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}]|n\rangle \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ n^{1/2}n|n-1\rangle & & (n+1)^{1/2}n|n+1\rangle \end{array}$$

NONLECTURE: Simplify the $\Delta n = -1$ terms.

$$\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} - \underbrace{\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}}_{[\mathbf{a}^\dagger, \mathbf{a}] \mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}$$

add and subtract the term needed to reverse order

$$[\mathbf{a}^\dagger, \mathbf{a}] \mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{a}$$

$$\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} - \underbrace{\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger}_{\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger] = \mathbf{a}}$$

$$\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger] = \mathbf{a}$$

$$[\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger] = 3\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}$$

try to put everything into $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}$ order

NONLECTURE: Simplify the $\Delta n = +1$ terms.

$$\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger = \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger - \underbrace{\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger}_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger] \mathbf{a}^\dagger = \mathbf{a}^\dagger} = \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger + \mathbf{a}^\dagger$$

$$[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger] \mathbf{a}^\dagger = \mathbf{a}^\dagger$$

$$\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger = \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} - \underbrace{\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger}_{\mathbf{a}^\dagger [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger] = \mathbf{a}^\dagger} = \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger$$

$$\mathbf{a}^\dagger [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger] = \mathbf{a}^\dagger$$

$$[\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger + \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger + \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}] = 3\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + 3\mathbf{a}^\dagger$$

$\Delta n = \pm 3$

$$\mathbf{a}^3 |n\rangle = [n(n-1)(n-2)]^{1/2} |n-3\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{a}^{\dagger 3} |n\rangle = [(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)]^{1/2} |n+3\rangle$$

$\Delta n = \pm 1$

$$[\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger] |n\rangle = 3(n^{3/2}) |n-1\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger + \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger + \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}] |n\rangle &= 3[(n+1)^{1/2} n |n+1\rangle + (n+1)^{1/2} |n+1\rangle] \\ &= 3(n+1)^{1/2} (n+1) |n+1\rangle \\ &= 3(n+1)^{3/2} |n+1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

no need to do matrix multiplication. Just play with \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{a}^\dagger and the $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger]$ commutation rule and the $\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}$ number operator

“Second Quantization”

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^3_{mn} = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}\right)^{3/2} & \left[\delta_{mn+3} \overbrace{\left((n+1)(n+2)(n+3)\right)^{1/2}}^{\text{same as } |n+3\rangle\langle n|} \right. \\ & + \delta_{mn+1} 3(n+1)^{3/2} \quad \leftarrow |n+1\rangle\langle n| \\ & + \delta_{mn-1} 3n^{3/2} \quad \leftarrow |n\rangle\langle n-1| \\ & \left. + \delta_{mn-3} \left(n(n-1)(n-2)\right)^{1/2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

simple! \mathbf{x}^3 is arranged into four separate terms, each with its own explicit selection rule.

↑ $|n\rangle\langle n-3|$
same as $|n\rangle\langle n-3|$

* $V(x) = \frac{1}{2} kx^2 + \underbrace{ax^3 + bx^4}_{\text{anharmonic terms}} \rightarrow \text{perturbation theory}$

* IR transition intensities $\propto |\langle n | \mathbf{x} | n+1 \rangle|^2$

* Survival and transfer probabilities of initially prepared pure harmonic oscillator non-eigenstate in an anharmonic potential.

* Expectation values of any function of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{p} .

5.73 Lecture #13

13 - 11

Universality: all k, m (system-specific) constants are removed until we put them back in at the end of the calculation.

$$\text{e.g., What is } \langle \Delta x \rangle^2 = \left[\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2 \right]$$

$$x^2 = \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \tilde{x} = \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger)^2 \right] \leftarrow \boxed{\text{pure numbers in []}}$$

$$\langle \Delta x \rangle^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left[\langle (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger)^2 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger \rangle^2 \right] ?$$

MIT OpenCourseWare
<https://ocw.mit.edu/>

5.73 Quantum Mechanics I
Fall 2018

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.